Yamabe constant (continued)

Lecture 3

Yamabe constant of AF manifolds

 Let us have an AF manifold which satisfies R = 0. Further, we assume that it is conformally flat outside a region of compact support. This is NOT important, it is purely to simplify things. We now conformally transform the manifold so that it is flat outside some coordinate radius r_0 . We know there exists a positive function v satisfying $8\nabla^2 v - Rv = 0, v \rightarrow 1$.

 This is the conformal factor that transforms us back to the zero scalar curvature manifold.
 Asymptotically, we know

•
$$v = 1 + M/2r +$$

• with M positive, because we know that the mass of $g' = v^4g$ (with $g_{ab}' = v^4\delta_{ab}$ near infinity) is positive. We neither know nor care about the interior. The positive energy theorem tells us that R in general is negative, but we do not care about it.

 We want to get an upper bound for the Yamabe constant. We use the following test function

•
$$\xi = \beta v, r < r', \qquad \xi = \frac{\alpha^{1/2}}{(\alpha^2 + r^2)^{1/2}}, r' < r$$

• where $r' > r_0$.

- We match 1 + M/2r with $\alpha^{1/2}/(\alpha^2 + r^2)^{1/2}$ to get

$$\alpha^2 = 2r'^3/M$$

- and $\beta = \alpha^{-1/2}(1 + M/2r')^{-3/2}$. We use the conformal factor in the interior and the flatspace Sobolev function in the exterior.
- We break the integral above the line into two parts $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ and we have

parts
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} = \int_{0}^{r'} + \int_{r'}^{\infty}$$
 and we have
$$\int_{0}^{r'} [(\nabla \xi)^{2} + 1/8R\xi^{2}] dv = \beta^{2} \int_{0}^{r} [(\nabla v)^{2} + 1/8Rv^{2}] dv = \beta^{2} \int_{0}^{r} v \nabla v \cdot dS + \beta^{2} \int_{0}^{r'} v (1/8Rv - \nabla^{2}v) dv$$

• But we know that $1/8Rv - \nabla^2 v = 0$. Therefore the integral reduces to

$$\int_{0}^{r'} = \beta^{2} \oint_{r'} v \nabla v. dS = \oint_{r'} \xi \nabla \xi. dS$$

• The other integral is $\int_{r'}^{\infty} [(\nabla \xi)^2 + 1/8R\xi^2] dV = \int_{\infty}^{\infty} (\nabla u)^2 d^3x$ $- \int_{\infty}^{\infty} [\nabla (u\nabla u) + u\nabla^2 u] d^3x - \int_{\infty}^{\infty} u\nabla u dS + 3 \int_{\infty}^{\infty} u^6 dy$

$$= \int_{r'}^{\infty} \left[\nabla \cdot (u\nabla u) - u\nabla^2 u\right] d^3 x = -\oint_{r'} u\nabla u \cdot dS + 3\int_{r'}^{\infty} u^6 dv$$

 where we use that u minimizes the flat Sobolev integral.

 When I add the two functions together the surface integrals at r = r' cancel because we matched the functions and first derivatives at

r = r'. Therefore we get
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} [(\nabla \xi)^{2} + 1/8R\xi^{2}] dv = 3 \int_{r'}^{\infty} u^{6} dv$$

I can explicitly perform the final integration to get

$$\int_{r'}^{\infty} u^6 dv \approx \pi^2 / 4 - 4\pi / 3(r'/\alpha)^3 = \pi^2 / 4 - 4\pi / 3[M / 2r']^{3/2}$$

- (This is in the limit where we pick r' >> M, i.e., $\alpha >> r'$. In this case we have $\mu(r < r') \implies \alpha^{-1/2}$, a constant. Therefore we get $\int u^6 dv \approx \alpha^{-3} [(4\pi/3)r^{13}]$.
- exactly the correction above.)
- The denominator we simply estimate by

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \xi^{6} dv > \int_{r'}^{\infty} \xi^{6} dv = \int_{r'}^{\infty} u^{6} dv.$$

Thus we get

•
$$Y < 3\left[\int_{r'}^{\infty} u^6 dv\right]^{2/3} = 3\left[\pi^2 / 4 - (4\pi / 3)\left[M / 2r'\right]^{3/2}\right]^{2/3}.$$

- We immediately see that Y < $3\pi^2/4$ as long as M > 0, and the positive energy theorem gives us M = 0 if and only if the 3-space is flat.
- This is not quite accurate. We cannot exactly match u and v at any given finite radius. In particular, we expect v to have dipole and higher order corrections. However, these become less and less important as we let r' become large. We can make this precise.

Positive energy

If we are given an asymptotically flat metric,
 with positive Yamabe constant, we can solve

$$8\nabla^2 \phi - R\phi = 0, \phi > 0, \phi \to 1 at \infty$$

- This is the Lichnerowicz equation. We can rewrite it as $8\nabla^2\theta R\theta = R, \theta \rightarrow 0$ at ∞
- This is where we write $\phi = 1 + \theta$.

 In 1959, essentially as his PhD thesis, Dieter Brill published the first positive energy proof. It was for axi-symmetric, moment-of-timesymmetry data. He started off with a base metric $dS^2 = e^{2Aq}(d\rho^2 + dz^2) + \rho^2 d\theta^2$, where q = $q(\rho, z)$ is a function which decays faster than 1/r at infinity, $q = q_{,0} = 0$ along the z axis, and A is a (positive) constant. We look for a positive conformal factor ϕ .

This goes to 1 at infinity. It must satisfy

$$8\nabla^2\phi - R\phi = 0$$

- This requires that A be `small'. This is what guarantees that the Yamabe constant of the manifold is positive. We can work out that
- $R = -2Ae^{-2Aq} (q_{,pp} + q_{,zz}).$
- We also have that
- $g = \rho^2 e^{4Aq}$.

$$\int R dv = \int \sqrt{g} R d^3 x = -4A\pi \int \rho(q, \rho_{\rho} + q, z) d\rho dz$$

$$= -4\pi A \int \left[(\rho q, \rho - q), \rho + (\rho q, z), z \right] d\rho dz$$

$$= O$$

$$2\pi M = -\oint \nabla^a \phi ds_a = -\oint (\nabla^a \phi / \phi) ds_a$$

$$= \int \left[-\nabla^2 \phi / \phi + (\nabla \phi)^2 / \phi^2 \right] dv = \int \left[-R/8 + (\nabla \phi)^2 / \phi^2 \right] dv = \int \left[(\nabla \phi)^2 / \phi^2 \right] dv > 0$$

- What choices of q (or Aq) allow us to have an everywhere positive ϕ ? It has to be `small'. More precisely, it must be small enough so that the Yamabe constant of the metric must be positive.
- In particular, we can prove the following:

- Cantor and Brill:
- There exists a positive ϕ going to 1 at infinity such that $g'_{ab} = \phi^4 g_{ab}$ has R' = 0 if and only if $\int [8(\nabla f)^{3} + R f^{2}] dv > 0$
- For all f of compact support (with f not identically zero).
- It turns out that we can find a condition for this using the Sobolev constant.

We can show this using the Sobolev constant

$$\int (\nabla f)^2 dv > S(g) \left[\int f^6 dv\right]^{1/3}$$

and the Holder inequality

$$\int R(g)f^2dv < \left[\int |R(g)|^{3/2}dv\right]^{2/3} \left[\int f^6dv\right]^{1/3}$$

• If $[\int |R(g)|^{3/2} dv]^{2/3} < 8S(g)$ we can show that the integral $\int [8(\nabla f)^2 + Rf^2] dv$ is positive for every f and so regular solutions to $8\nabla^2 \phi - R\phi = 0$ exist.

 The next thing that is interesting about Brill waves is that if A is large (either positive or negative) for any fixed q, a regular solution to

$$8\nabla^2\phi - R\phi = 0$$

- cannot exist.
- Let us define a positive function f₊ which has support only where R is positive. We then have

$$\int (\nabla f_{+})^{2} dv = 2\pi \int [(f_{+}, \rho)^{2} + (f_{+}, z)^{2}] \rho d\rho dz$$

$$\int R(g)(f_{+})^{2} dv = 4\pi A \int -(q_{+}, \rho)^{2} + (f_{+}, z)^{2} \rho d\rho dz$$

• Let me define
$$|A_{-}| = \frac{\int [(f_{+}, \rho)^{2} + (f_{+}, z)^{2}] \rho d\rho dz}{2 \int -(q_{+}, \rho)^{2} + (q_{+}, z)^{2} \int \rho d\rho dz}$$

- If A < |A₋|, we cannot get a regular solution to the Hamiltonian constraint. In a similar way, we can define an A₊ and again we get no regular solution.
- This is not just a mathematical game. We re trying to map out `conformal superspace',

 More precisely, we are trying to map out `axially symmetric conformal superspace'. We have q as defining a 'direction' in conformal superspace, with A as the magnitude. If A is either large and positive or large and negative we emerge from the allowed region of conformal superspace, and we no longer can solve the conformal constraints.